



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Viginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/557,822	04/25/2000	Timothy A. Barton	2799CIP	9903
75	90 07/01/2003			
Niro Scavone Haller & Niro Suite 4600 181 West Madison Street			EXAMINER	
			NORMAN, MARC E	
Chicago, IL 60602		•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3744	(3
			DATE MAILED: 07/01/2003	Ð

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		M				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/557,822	BARTON, TIMOTHY A.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Marc E. Norman	3744				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address						
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RE THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATIO - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory per - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by str. - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mearned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status	DN. R 1.136(a). In no event, however, r i. a reply within the statutory minimum irod will apply and will expire SIX (6 tatute, cause the application to beco	may a reply be timely filed of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. NONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. The ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on (<u>05 May 2003</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1-3 and 6 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without	drawn from consideration	n.				
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-3 and 6</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction an	nd/or election requiremer	nt.				
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam	niner.					
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ a	ccepted or b) objected to	by the Examiner.				
Applicant may not request that any objection to						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) All b) Some * c) None of:						
Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority docum	ents have been received	in Application No				
 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International * See the attached detailed Office action for a 	Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2	(a)).				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dome	estic priority under 35 U.	S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).				
 a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dom 						
Attachment(s)						
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper Not) 5) 🔲 Noti	rview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) ice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152) er: .				

DETAILED ACTION

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-3 and 6 set forth in the Appeal Brief filed 5 May 2003 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection. New art has been found which more directly reads on Applicant's invention. Accordingly, prosecution of the case is hereby reopened, and a new non-final rejection is set forth below.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 1-3 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Viking Freight.

As per claim 1, Viking Freight discloses an internet-based system for ordering freight services at a user computer comprising sequentially displaying a plurality of accessorial services (see accessorial services listed sequentially at bottom half of upper right frame) and requiring the user to address/accept/decline each of the accessorial services (by either checking or not checking the checkbox associated with each service), wherein the services comprise at least inside delivery and residential delivery. Since Viking Freight is an internet-based system, it inherently functions using a server computer and distributed network, and further includes a

Application/Control Number: 09/557,822

Art Unit: 3744

database containing accessorial service information (used to determine shipping rate based on chosen services).

The Examiner notes that the phrase "requiring the user" is somewhat vague for the purposes of patentability. Under its broadest interpretation, displaying the services on the screen where the user must either check or not check each box can be considered requiring the user to accept or decline each of the services. For purposes of patentability, the claim must recite more specifically how the requiring step of applicant's invention is performed.

As per claim 2, Viking Freight discloses the services being addressed individually (by each checkbox).

As per claim 3, Viking Freight discloses the completion of the transaction being completed only after each service has been addressed (As noted with regard to claim 1, the user addresses each of the services by either checking or not checking the associated checkbox. To overcome this rejection, the claim must recite more specifically how the requiring step of applicant's invention is performed.).

As per claim 6, Viking Freight discloses the accessorial services being presented sequentially as a list.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

Kadaba teaches an internet-based system for processing special handling instructions for freight transport.

Application/Control Number: 09/557,822

Page 4

Art Unit: 3744

UPS shows the United Parcel Service web site as of 17 April 1999, as found via www.archives.org.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Marc E. Norman whose telephone number is 703-305-2711. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon.-Fri., 8:00-5:30, with first Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Denise Esquivel can be reached on 703-308-2597. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9302 for regular communications and 703-872-9303 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-306-5648.

MN

June 27, 2003

MARC NORMAN PATENT EXAMINER